**Criteria for assessment of ability for oral presentation and discussion - Bachelor's degree level**Student name Social Security number (Swedish “personnummer”)

Course and module

Date of thesis defence

Date of opposition

Grading teacher

Overall assessment of ability for oral presentation and discussion (G/U)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria for approved oral presentation and discussion - Bachelor's degree** | | |
|  | Defence of thesis (G/U) | Opposition (G/U) |
| *Criteria for oral presentation* | | |
| The scientific content of the presentation is presented clearly |  |  |
| The presentation deals with the central scientific content |  |  |
| The presentation can be understood even by listeners without expert knowledge in the areas the essays cover |  |  |
| The presentation is well timed - the central content is covered within the time allotted for the presentation |  |  |
| *Criteria for oral discussion* | | |
| The respondent listens to and gives relevant answers to questions and comments |  |  |
| The opponent asks relevant questions and makes relevant comments |  |  |

The assessment of the ability to give an oral presentation and discussion forms part of the assessment of the performance in the essay seminars. In order for the oral ability to be assessed as passed, all criteria must be assessed as passed.

Oral ability is assessed as pass or fail. This assessment forms part of the assessment of how well opposition and defense in essay seminars have been carried out. The entire performance at the seminar (where account can also be taken of a written part of the opposition) is assessed according to the criteria for essay assessment as unsatisfactory, satisfactory or fulfilled exceptionally well.

Students who are judged to have failed a criterion may retake an oral examination in accordance with the instructions of the teacher in charge.

If an oral presentation is carried out only in connection with the opposition, then only this presentation is assessed. If oral presentation is carried out both in defense and in opposition, it is sufficient to be assessed as approved in terms of criteria for oral presentation on one of these occasions.