Solution 1. Let *n* be an integer. Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\lfloor x + n \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + n$. Indeed, this standard property follows from the fact that as $m = \lfloor x \rfloor$ is the unique integer chosen so that $m \leq x < m + 1$, we also have $m + n \leq x + n < m + n + 1$, thus implying that m + n is the unique such integer for x + n.

Using this observation, we note that $\{x + n\} = \{x\}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence we also have $\{(x + n) + (y + m)\} = \{x + y\}$ and $\{x + n\} \cdot \{y + m\} = \{x\} \{y\}$. Thus (x, y)is a solution for the equation if and only if (x + n, y + m) is a solution for the equation for all integers $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, by choosing $n = -\lfloor x \rfloor$ and $m = -\lfloor y \rfloor$, it suffices to find those solutions (x, y) with $0 \le x < 1$ and $0 \le y < 1$. The advantage is that for these choices of x and y we have $\lfloor x \rfloor = 0$, so $\{x\}$ is simply x, while $0 \le x + y < 2$, so $\{x + y\}$ is either x + y or x + y - 1.

First suppose that $(x, y) \in [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ is a solution for which we have $0 \le x + y < 1$. Then the original equation $\{x + y\} = \{x\} \cdot \{y\}$ can be written as x + y = xy, which again can be written as (1 - x)(1 - y) = 1. Since $x, y \in [0, 1)$, we have $0 < 1 - x \le 1$ and $0 < 1 - y \le 1$, which implies that $(1 - x)(1 - y) \le 1$. Since the equality must hold, we need both 1 - xand 1 - y to be 1, that is we need x = y = 0. Thus the only solution when $0 \le x + y < 1$ is x = y = 0.

Now suppose that $1 \le x+y < 2$. Then the original equation can be written as x+y-1 = xy, which simplifies to (x-1)(y-1) = 0. Thus we must have x-1 = 0 or y-1 = 0, both of which are impossible as we are assuming that $0 \le x < 1$ and $0 \le y < 1$. Thus there are no solutions in this case.

Hence the only solution (x, y) satisfying the additional condition $0 \le x < 1$ and $0 \le y < 1$ is x = y = 0. Since we observed that (x, y) is a solution if and only if (x + n, y + m) is a solution for all integers m and n, we conclude that the whole solution set is those pairs (x, y)so that both x and y are integers.

Solution 2. First we recall some standard rules for divisibility. Let $N = \overline{a_1 \dots a_n}$ denote a n-digit number (written as usually in base 10, i.e. $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ and $N = a_n + 10a_{n-1} + \dots + 10^{n-1}a_1$). Then N is divisible by 3 if and only if the sum of its digits, i.e. $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ is divisible by 3. The same statement also holds when 3 is replaced with 9, but we don't need it in this problem. In addition, N is divisible by 11 if and only if the alternating sum of its digits $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}a_n$ is divisible by 11.

Note that $132 = 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 11$. Since 3, 4 and 11 are pairwise coprime (do not have any common factors apart from 1), an integer N is divisible by 132 if and only if N is divisible by 3, N is divisible by 4 and N is divisible by 11. Note that one has to be a bit careful here: even though say $24 = 4 \cdot 6$, an integer N can be divisible by 4 and 6 without being divisible by 24 (say, 12) - but of course here the problem is that 4 and 6 share 2 as a common factor.

Let $N = \overline{a_1 \dots a_n}$ denote the least such number with $a_i \in \{2, 3\}$ for every *i*. By checking small cases, it is not too hard to conclude that N = 23232 satisfies the conditions (indeed, it is clearly divisible by 4, the sum of digits is 12 which is divisible by 3 and the alternating sum of digits is 0 which is divisible by 11). Thus we may assume that the smallest N has at most 5 digits.

Let A denote the sum of digits with odd index (those of a_1 , a_3 , a_5 , depending on how many digits N has) and let B denote the sum of digits with even index (a_2 and a_4). Then we know that 3 must divide A + B and 11 must divide A - B. Since $0 \le A \le 3 \cdot 3 = 9$ and $0 \le B \le 2 \cdot 3 = 6$, we conclude that $-6 \le A - B \le 9$. Since A - B is divisible by 11, the only possibility is to have A - B = 0, i.e. A = B. But then A + B = 2A, and since 2 and 3 do not have any common factors apart from 1, A must be divisible by 3 and similarly B must be divisible by 3.

If N had at most 4 digits, then both A and B will be sums of at most 2 digits that are 2 or 3. The divisibility of such a sum clearly depends only on the number of 2's in it: the number of 2's needs to be divisible by 3. But since both sums contain only at most 2 digits, the only way such a situation can be achieved is by taking no 2's at all to either sets. This in turn implies that all digits are 3's, whence N is clearly odd integer and thus not divisible by 4.

Now suppose N has 5 digits. Since B contains only 2 digits, by the previous argument both of them must be 3's. Now A contains 3 digits whose sum is divisible by 3, and again not all of them can be 3's. Thus at least one of them must be 2, and hence at least 3 of them must be 2's, whence all of them must be 2's. Hence we end up with the number N = 23232, which we know to satisfy these properties.

Finally, observe the simple fact that if N has at least 6 digits, then regardless of their choices, we must have N > 23232, thus proving that 23232 is the smallest such integer.

Solution 3. Applying the law of sines to the triangle ABC implies that

$$\frac{BC}{\sin\left(\angle BAC\right)} = \frac{AB}{\sin\left(\angle ACB\right)}.\tag{1}$$

Since $\angle EBA = 90^\circ$, we have

$$AB = \cos\left(\angle BAC\right) \cdot AE. \tag{2}$$

Substituting the value of AB from (2) into (1), and using the fact that $AE = 2 \cdot BC$, we obtain

$$\frac{BC}{\sin\left(\angle BAC\right)} = \frac{AB}{\sin\left(\angle ACB\right)} = 2\frac{\cos\left(\angle BAC\right)BC}{\sin\left(\angle ACB\right)}$$

which simplifies to $\sin(\angle ACB) = 2\cos(\angle BAC) \cdot \sin(\angle BAC) = \sin(2\angle BAC)$. Since both of these angles are between 0° and 180°, it follows that $\angle ACB = 2\angle BAC$ or $\angle ACB + 2\angle BAC = 180^\circ$. Our aim is to rule out the second option.

Suppose we have $\angle ACB + 2\angle BAC = 180^\circ$. Since the sum of the angles of triangle is 180°, it follows that $\angle CBA = \angle BAC$ by considering the triangle ABC. Thus we must have BC = AC, which in turn implies that $BC = AC > AE = 2 \cdot BC$. This is clearly a contradiction, so we must have $\angle ACB = 2\angle BAC$. Thus the diagonal AC divides the angle $\angle ACB$ and hence also the angle $\angle BDA$ in 2 : 1 ratio.